


Outline

- General Game Playing
- First-Order Logic
- Logic Programs
- The Game Description Language GDL

Computer Game Playing



Kasparov vs. Deep Blue (1997)



General Game Playing

General Game Players are systems

- able to understand formal descriptions of arbitrary games
- able to learn to play these games effectively.

Translation: They don't know the rules until the game starts.

Unlike specialized game players (e.g. Deep Blue), they do not use algorithms designed in advance for specific games.


Variety of Games





Noughts And Crosses





Chess






Bughouse Chess



Kriegspiel





Other Games



Single-Player "Games"

7				1			4	
	2				9		5	6
		4		6		2		
		8	6		1		2	
		7				1		
	9		3		8	6		
		5		2		4		
8	4		1				6	
	1			8				2



© Mopic * www.ClipartOf.com/433340

International Activities

Websites – www.general-game-playing.de
games.stanford.edu

- Games
- Game Manager
- Reference Players
- Development Tools
- Literature

World Cup, administered by Stanford


- 2005 – Cluneplayer (USA)
- 2006 – Fluxplayer (Germany)
- 2007, 2008 – Cadiaplayer (Iceland)
- 2010 – Ary (France)
- upcoming World Cup: July 2011

General Game Playing and AI


Why games?

- Many social, biological, political, and economic processes can be formalised as (mutli-) games.
- General game-players are rational agents that can adapt to radically different environments without human intervention.

Ordinary Systems



General Systems



Finite Synchronous Games

Finite environment

- Environment with finitely many positions (= states)
- One initial state and one or more terminal states


Finite Players

- Fixed finite number of players
- Each with finitely many “actions”
- Each with one or more goal states


Synchronous Update

- All players move on all steps (possibly some “no-ops”)
- Environment changes only in response to moves

Games as State Machines



Initial State, Terminal States, & Simultaneous Moves



Direct Description

Since all of the games that we are considering are finite, it is possible in principle to communicate game information in the form of tables (for legal moves, update, etc.)

Problem: Size of description. Even though everything is finite, the necessary tables can be large (e.g. $\sim 10^{44}$ states in Chess)

Solutions:

- Reformulate in modular fashion
- Use compact encoding

States versus Features


In many cases, worlds are best thought of in terms of atomic features that may change; e.g. “position-of-white-queen”, “black-can-castle”. Moves (a.k.a. actions) affect subsets of these features.

States represent all possible ways the world can be.

As such, the number of states is exponential in the number of features of the world, and the transition tables are correspondingly large.

Solution: Represent features directly and describe how actions change individual features rather than entire states

Example: Noughts And Crosses



```
cell(1,1,x)  
cell(1,2,b)  
cell(1,3,b)  
cell(2,1,b)  
cell(2,2,o)  
cell(2,3,b)  
cell(3,1,b)  
cell(3,2,b)  
cell(3,3,x)  
control(oplayer)
```

Game Description Language (GDL): Facts and Rules

Some Facts

```
role(xplayer)
role(oplayer)

init(cell(1,1,b))
init(cell(1,2,b))
...
init(cell(3,3,b))
init(control(xplayer))
```

Some Rules

```
legal(P,mark(M,N)) <=
  true(cell(M,N,b)) ^
  true(control(P))

next(cell(M,N,x)) <=
  does(xplayer,mark(M,N))

next(cell(M,N,o)) <=
  does(oplayer,mark(M,N))
```

All highlighted expressions are pre-defined keywords in GDL.

No Built-In Assumptions

What we see

```
legal(P, mark(M,N)) <=  
  true(cell(M,N,b)) ^  
  true(control(P))  
  
next(cell(M,N,x)) <=  
  does(xplayer, mark(M,N))  
  
next(cell(M,N,o)) <=  
  does(oplayer, mark(M,N))
```

What they see

```
legal(P, dukepse(M,N)) <=  
  true(welcoul(M,N,kwq)) ^  
  true(himenoing(P))  
  
next(welcoul(M,N,ygg)) <=  
  does(lorchi, dukepse(M,N))  
  
next(welcoul(M,N,pyr)) <=  
  does(gniste, dukepse(M,N))
```

First-Order Logic: Vocabulary

Object Variables:	X, Y, Z
Object Constants:	a, b, c
Functions:	f, g, h
Predicates:	p, q, r
Connectives:	\neg, \wedge, \vee, \leq
Quantifiers:	\forall, \exists

The arity of a function or predicate is the number of arguments that can be supplied.

First-Order Logic: Syntax

Terms

- Variables: X, Y, Z
- Constants: a, b, c
- Functional terms: $f(a), g(a, X), h(a, b, f(Y))$

Sentences

- Atoms: $p(X), q(a, g(a, b))$
- Literals: $p(X), \neg p(X)$ (i.e. atoms and negated atoms)
- Sentences: $p(a) \vee \neg p(a)$
 $\forall X \exists Y p(X, Y) \Leftarrow \exists Y \forall X p(X, Y)$
 $\forall X p(f(X)) \Leftarrow \exists Y q(X, f(Y)) \wedge \neg r(a)$

First-Order Logic: Semantics

The Herbrand universe for a logic language is the set of all variable-free terms.

Example 1:

- Object Constants: a, b
- Herbrand Universe: $\{a, b\}$

Example 2:

- Object Constant: a
- Unary function: f
- Herbrand Universe: $\{a, f(a), f(f(a)), \dots\}$

Semantics (Cont'd)

The Herbrand base is the set of all variable-free atoms.

Example: $\{p(a), p(b), q(a,a), q(a,b), q(b,a), q(b,b)\}$

A model is an arbitrary subset of the Herbrand base.

Examples:

- $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{p(a), q(a,b), q(b,a)\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{p(a), p(b), q(a,a), q(a,b), q(b,a), q(b,b)\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_3 = \{\}$

Semantics (Finished)

\mathcal{M} is a model for a sentence φ under the following conditions.

- \mathcal{M} model for a variable-free atom φ iff $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$
- \mathcal{M} model for $\neg\varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} not a model for φ
- \mathcal{M} model for $\varphi \wedge \psi$ iff \mathcal{M} model for φ and model for ψ
- \mathcal{M} model for $\varphi \vee \psi$ iff \mathcal{M} model for φ or model for ψ (or both)
- \mathcal{M} model for $\varphi \leq \psi$ iff \mathcal{M} model for φ whenever \mathcal{M} model for ψ
- \mathcal{M} model for $\forall x \varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} model for $\varphi\{x/t\}$ for all terms t in the Herbrand universe
- \mathcal{M} model for $\exists x \varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} model for $\varphi\{x/t\}$ for some t in the Herbrand universe

$\varphi\{x/t\}$ means to replace each occurrence of x by t in φ .

Examples

Recall the models

- $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{p(a), q(a,b), q(b,a)\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{p(a), p(b), q(a,a), q(a,b), q(b,a), q(b,b)\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_3 = \{\}$

Some examples:

- \mathcal{M}_1 is a model for $p(a) \wedge \neg p(b)$, whereas \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{M}_3 are not.
- \mathcal{M}_2 is a model for $p(b) \leq p(a)$. So is \mathcal{M}_3 (!)
- All three are models for $\forall X \forall Y q(X, Y) \leq q(Y, X)$

If all models of sentences Φ also satisfy φ , then φ is a logical consequence of Φ .

Logic Programs: A Subset of First-Order Logic

Clauses

- Facts: atoms
- Rules: Head \leq Body

Head: atom

Body: sentence built from \wedge , \vee , literal

All variables in a clause are universally quantified (over the whole clause).


A logic program is a finite collection of clauses.

Back to General Game Playing

In the Game Description Language (GDL), a game is a logic program. GDL uses the constants 0, 1, ..., 100 and the following predicates as keywords.

- `role(r)` means that `r` is a role (i.e. a player) in the game
- `init(f)` means that `f` is true in the initial position (state)
- `true(f)` means that `f` is true in the current state
- `does(r,a)` means that role `r` does action `a` in the current state
- `next(f)` means that `f` is true in the next state
- `legal(r,a)` means that it is legal for `r` to play `a` in the current state
- `goal(r,v)` means that `r` gets goal value `v` in the current state
- `terminal` means that the current state is a terminal state
- `distinct(s,t)` means that terms `s` and `t` are syntactically different

Back to Noughts And Crosses



```
cell(1,1,x)
cell(1,2,b)
cell(1,3,b)
cell(2,1,b)
cell(2,2,o)
cell(2,3,b)
cell(3,1,b)
cell(3,2,b)
cell(3,3,x)
control(oplayer)
```

Noughts and Crosses: Vocabulary

- **Object constants**
`xplayer, oplayer` Players
`x, o, b` Marks
`noop` Move
- **Functions**
`cell(number, number, mark)` Feature
`control(player)` Feature
`mark(number, number)` Move
- **Predicates**
`row(number, mark)`
`column(number, mark)`
`diagonal(mark)`
`line(mark)`
`open`
`draw`

Players and Initial State

```
role(xplayer)
role(oplayer)

init(cell(1,1,b))
init(cell(1,2,b))
init(cell(1,3,b))
init(cell(2,1,b))
init(cell(2,2,b))
init(cell(2,3,b))
init(cell(3,1,b))
init(cell(3,2,b))
init(cell(3,3,b))
init(control(xplayer))
```

Move Generator

```

legal(P,mark(M,N)) <=
    true(cell(M,N,b)) ^
    true(control(P))

legal(xplayer,noop) <=
    true(control(oplayer))

legal(oplayer,noop) <=
    true(control(xplayer))
  
```

Conclusions: legal(xplayer,noop)
 legal(oplayer,mark(1,2))
 ...
 legal(oplayer,mark(3,2))

X		
	O	
		X

```

cell(1,1,x)
cell(1,2,b)
cell(1,3,b)
cell(2,1,b)
cell(2,2,o)
cell(2,3,b)
cell(3,1,b)
cell(3,2,b)
cell(3,3,x)
control(oplayer)
  
```

Physics: Example

```

cell(1,1,x)
cell(1,2,b)
cell(1,3,b)
cell(2,1,b)
cell(2,2,o)
cell(2,3,b)
cell(3,1,b)
cell(3,2,b)
cell(3,3,x)
control(oplayer)



```

oplayer
 ───────────────────▶
 mark(1,3)

```

cell(1,1,x)
cell(1,2,b)
cell(1,3,o)
cell(2,1,b)
cell(2,2,o)
cell(2,3,b)
cell(3,1,b)
cell(3,2,b)
cell(3,3,x)
control(xplayer)

```



Physics

```
next(cell(M,N,x)) <= does(xplayer,mark(M,N))
```

```
next(cell(M,N,o)) <= does(oplayer,mark(M,N))
```

```
next(cell(M,N,W)) <= true(cell(M,N,W)) ∧  
                      does(P,mark(J,K)) ∧  
                      (distinct(M,J) ∨ distinct(N,K))
```

```
next(control(xplayer)) <= true(control(oplayer))
```

```
next(control(oplayer)) <= true(control(xplayer))
```

Supporting Concepts

```
row(M,W) <=
```

```
  true(cell(M,1,W)) ^  
  true(cell(M,2,W)) ^  
  true(cell(M,3,W))
```

```
column(N,W) <=
```

```
  true(cell(1,N,W)) ^  
  true(cell(2,N,W)) ^  
  true(cell(3,N,W))
```

```
diagonal(W) <=
```

```
  true(cell(1,1,W)) ^  
  true(cell(2,2,W)) ^  
  true(cell(3,3,W))
```

```
diagonal(W) <=
```

```
  true(cell(1,3,W)) ^  
  true(cell(2,2,W)) ^  
  true(cell(3,1,W))
```

Termination and Goal Values

```

terminal <=
    line(x) ∨ line(o)
terminal <=
    ¬open

line(W) <=
    row(M,W) ∨
    column(N,W) ∨
    diagonal(W)

open <=
    true(cell(M,N,b))
  
```

```

goal(xplayer,100) <= line(x)
goal(xplayer, 50) <= draw
goal(xplayer,  0) <= line(o)

goal(oplayer,100) <= line(o)
goal(oplayer, 50) <= draw
goal(oplayer,  0) <= line(x)

draw <=
    ¬line(x) ∧ ¬line(o) ∧ ¬open
  
```

Completeness

Of necessity, game descriptions are logically incomplete in that they do not uniquely specify the moves of the players.

Every game description contains *complete definitions* for *legality*, *termination*, *goal values*, and *update* in terms of the relations `true` and `does`.

The upshot is that in every state every player can determine legality, termination, goal values, and, given a joint move, can update the state.

Knowledge Interchange Format

Knowledge Interchange Format (a.k.a. KIF) is a standard for programmatic exchange of knowledge represented in relational logic.

Syntax is prefix version of standard syntax.

Some operators are renamed: `not`, `and`, `or`.

Case-independent. Variables are prefixed with `?`.

$r(X,Y) \Leftarrow p(X,Y) \wedge \neg q(Y)$

`(=<= (r ?x ?y) (and (p ?x ?y) (not (q ?y))))`

or, equivalently,

`(=<= (r ?x ?y) (p ?x ?y) (not (q ?y)))`

Semantics is the same.

Noughts And Crosses in KIF

```

(role xplayer)
(role oplayer)

(init (cell 1 1 b))
(init (cell 1 2 b))
(init (cell 1 3 b))
(init (cell 2 1 b))
(init (cell 2 2 b))
(init (cell 2 3 b))
(init (cell 3 1 b))
(init (cell 3 2 b))
(init (cell 3 3 b))
(init (control xplayer))

(<= (next (cell ?m ?n x))
    (does xplayer (mark ?m ?n)))
(<= (next (cell ?m ?n o))
    (does oplayer (mark ?m ?n)))
(<= (next (cell ?m ?n ?w))
    (true (cell ?m ?n ?w))
    (does ?p (mark ?j ?k))
    (or (distinct ?m ?j)
        (distinct ?n ?k)))
(<= (next (control xplayer))
    (true (control oplayer)))
(<= (next (control oplayer))
    (true (control xplayer)))

(<= (legal ?p (mark ?m ?n))
    (true (cell ?m ?n b))
    (true (control ?p)))
(<= (legal xplayer noop)
    (true (control oplayer)))
(<= (legal oplayer noop)
    (true (control xplayer)))

(<= (row ?m ?w)
    (true (cell ?m 1 ?w))
    (true (cell ?m 2 ?w))
    (true (cell ?m 3 ?w)))
(<= (column ?n ?w)
    (true (cell 1 ?n ?w))
    (true (cell 2 ?n ?w))
    (true (cell 3 ?n ?w)))
(<= (diagonal ?w)
    (true (cell 1 1 ?w))
    (true (cell 2 2 ?w))
    (true (cell 3 3 ?w)))
(<= (diagonal ?x)
    (true (cell 1 3 ?w))
    (true (cell 2 2 ?w))
    (true (cell 3 1 ?w)))


(<= (line ?w)
    (or (row ?m ?w)
        (column ?n ?w)
        (diagonal ?w)))
<= open
    (true (cell ?m ?n b)))

(<= terminal
    (or (line x) (line o)))
(<= terminal
    (not open))

(<= (goal xplayer 100)
    (line x))
(<= (goal xplayer 50)
    draw)
(<= (goal xplayer 0)
    (line o))
(<= (goal oplayer 100)
    (line o))
(<= (goal oplayer 50)
    draw)
(<= (goal oplayer 0)
    (line x))

```


Game Manager



Communication Protocol

- Manager sends **START** message to players
(**START** <MATCH ID> <ROLE> <GAME DESCRIPTION>
<STARTCLOCK> <PLAYCLOCK>)
 - Match ID: the name of the game
 - Role: the name of the role you are playing (e.g. `xplayer` or `oplayer`)
 - Game description: the axioms describing the game
 - Start/play clock: how much time you have before the game begins/per turn
- Manager sends **PLAY** message to players
(**PLAY** <MATCH ID> <PRIOR MOVES>)
Prior moves is a list of moves, one per player
 - The order is the same as the order of roles in the game description
 - e.g. ((`mark 1 1`) `noop`)
 - Special case: for the first turn, prior moves is `nil`
- Players send back a message of the form **MOVE**, e.g. (`mark 3 2`)
- When the previous turn ended the game, Manager sends a **STOP** message
(**STOP** <MATCH ID> <PRIOR MOVES>)

http://www.general-game-playing.de/downloads.html

Downloads - General Game Playing

2/05/11 12:25 PM

Home
Activities
Research
Literature
Getting Started
Downloads
Links

Downloads

We provide programs that might help you to implement your own General Game Playing system. All programs contain source code and are distributed under GPL.

GAMECONTROLLER

GameController is a standalone game master clone written entirely in Java and developed as part of the GGPServer project. It is particularly useful for testing your own general game playing system. GameController comes with a simple GUI and a command line interface. Send bug reports and suggestions to Stephan Schiffel.

Download the most recent version from the sourceforge project page.

System requirements:

- Java 1.6 runtime environment

Usage:

```
java -jar gamecontroller-xyz.jar
```

BASIC PROLOG PLAYER

A basic player implemented in ECLIPSe Prolog based on code from FLUXPLAYER.

Download current version (1.1)

System requirements:

- ECLIPSe Prolog version 5.10 or higher

Changes since version 1.0

- the port should be free now after stopping the player

(last update: 12 March 2009)

BASIC JAVA PLAYER

A basic player implemented in Java which comes with a framework for implementing your strategies, analyzing the game, etc. It can be found on the Palamedes-IDE website.

BASIC C++ PLAYER

A basic player implemented in C++ with the reasoner of the prolog player above.

Download current version (1.6)

System requirements:

- Linux/Unix (or any system which provides sockets)



Download Manager



Download Basic Players

<http://www.general-game-playing.de/downloads.html>

Page 1 of 2

GameControllerApp

